REMARKS

We are in receipt of the Office Action dated January 22, 2009, and the above amendments and following remarks are made in light thereof.

Claims 1, 3, 32, 39-38, 40, 41, 48-50, 52-56, 58 and 59 are pending in the application. Pursuant to the Office Action, Claims 1, 3, 32, 34, 35, 48-50, 52 and 53 are rejected as being unpatentable over Takahara in view of Nishio et al. in further view of Nishiguchi. Claims 36-38, 40, 41, 56-56, 58 and 59 are rejected as being unpatentable over Takahara, in view of Nishi et al., in further view of Hamada, and in still further view of Nishiguchi. These rejections were made "final."

The claimed invention is directed to a camera including an electroluminescence display device attached to the body, the electroluminescence display device being configured to project an image to one of a right eye and a left eye of a user. Claim 1 recites the second surface of the substrate has a spherical configuration which acts as a lens. In the previous response, applicant argued that the device of Nishiguchi is basically for projecting two images to the right and left eyes of a user. In response, the Examiner contends that Nishiguchi was introduced to teach where the surface of a substrate has a spherical configuration.

It should be noted that Nishiguchi is directed to a stereoscopic optical element (see title of Nishiguchi). In general, a stereoscopic optical element requires both right and left eyes of a user (col. 1, lines 42-53 of Nishiguchi). On the other hand, the display device of the present invention is required to project an image to only one eye of a user. This means that it does not relate to a stereoscopic optical element. Therefore, if one of ordinary skill in the art were producing a display device to be used by only one eye, he/she would not be motivated to use a lenticular lens sheet of Nishiguchi because he/she does not need it, and the use of such an unnecessary element would merely increase the production cost of the device.

Therefore, there is no reason to use the lens of Nishiguchi for a display element which is

required to project an image to only one eye.

Conclusion

It is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and

should be allowed.

If any fee should be due for this amendment, extension of time and/or RCE, please charge our

deposit account 50/1039.

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Date: July 20, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

/Stephen B. Heller /

Mark J. Murphy

Registration No. 30,181

COOK ALEX Ltd. 200 West Adams Street

Suite 2850

Chicago, Illinois 60606

(312) 236-8500

Customer No. 26568

10